

# STOCHASTIC PROCESSES AND DERIVATIVES

## Sheet 6

Antoine FALCK

December 7, 2017

**Exercise 1.** Suppose that the rate of interest is random. Interest may be paid either in a continuous time or discrete time. Let  $B(t, T)$  be the price of a zero-coupon bond with maturity  $T$  at time  $t$ . An important quantity is the forward rate, given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, T) &:= -\partial_T \ln B(t, T) && \text{in the continuous setting ;} \\ f(t_i, t_N) &:= \frac{B(t_i, t_N)}{B(t_i, t_{N+1})} - 1 && \text{in the discrete setting.} \end{aligned}$$

1. Prove that the forward rate can be used to express the price of the zero-coupon bond by

$$\begin{aligned} B(t, T) &= \exp\left(-\int_t^T f(t, s) ds\right) && \text{in the continuous setting ;} \\ B(t_i, t_N) &= \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} (1 + f(t_i, t_j))^{-1} && \text{in the discrete setting.} \end{aligned}$$

We now investigate the long forward rate  $f_L(t) := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} f(t, T)$ .

2. The zero-coupon rate is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} z(t, T) &:= \frac{1}{T-t} \int_t^T f(t, s) ds && \text{in the continuous setting ;} \\ z(t_i, t_N) &:= \frac{1}{B(t_i, t_N)^{\frac{1}{N-i}} - 1} && \text{in the discrete setting.} \end{aligned}$$

Prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{t \leq s \leq T} f(t, s) \leq z(t, T) \leq \sup_{t \leq s \leq T} f(t, s) &&& \text{in the continuous setting ;} \\ \inf_{t_i \leq t_j \leq t_N} f(t_i, t_j) \leq z(t_i, t_N) \leq \sup_{t_i \leq t_j \leq t_N} f(t_i, t_N) &&& \text{in the discrete setting.} \end{aligned}$$

Show that, if the long forward rate exists almost surely, then the long zero-coupon rate  $z_L(t) := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} z(t, T)$  exists almost surely and  $z_L(t) = f_L(t)$ .

3. Let  $x_L(t) := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} B(t, T)^{\frac{1}{T}}$ . Prove that  $z_L(t)$  exists iff  $x_L(t)$  exists.
4. Let  $X_n$  be a sequence of non-negative r.v. and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra. Suppose that  $X_n$  converges a.s. to  $X$ , and  $Y := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[X_n^n | \mathcal{G}]^{\frac{1}{n}} < \infty$  a.s. Prove that  $\mathbb{E}[XZ] \leq \mathbb{E}[YZ]$  for any non-negative, bounded r.v.  $Z$ , and prove that  $X \leq Y$  a.s.
5. Prove that, if  $t \geq s \geq 0$  are such that  $x_L(t)$  and  $x_L(s)$  exist, then  $x_L(s) \geq x_L(t)$ .
6. Deduce that  $f_L(t) \geq f_L(s)$ ,  $\forall t \geq s \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* 1. We have  $B(t, t) = 1$  so the formula is obvious in the continuous case. For the discrete case let us proceed by recurrence,

$$B(t_i, t_i) = (1 + f(t_i, t_i))^{-1} = 1 \quad \text{as } f(t, t) = 0.$$

Now we consider that the formula is true for  $t_{N-1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} B(t_i, t_N) &= B(t_i, t_{N-1})(1 + f(t_i, t_N))^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{N-2} (1 + f(t_i, t_j))^{-1} (1 + f(t_i, t_N))^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} (1 + f(t_i, t_j))^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

2. Again it is obvious in the continuous case. For the discrete setting,

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf_{t_i \leq t_i \leq t_N} f(t_i, t_j) \leq f(t_i, t_j) \\ \Leftrightarrow &\left(1 + \inf_{t_i \leq t_i \leq t_N} f(t_i, t_j)\right)^{-1} \leq (1 + f(t_i, t_j))^{-1} \\ \Leftrightarrow &\frac{1}{(1 + \inf_{t_i \leq t_i \leq t_N} f(t_i, t_j))^{N-i}} = \prod_{j=i}^{N-1} \left(1 + \inf_{t_i \leq t_i \leq t_N} f(t_i, t_j)\right)^{-1} \leq \prod_{j=i}^{N-1} (1 + f(t_i, t_j))^{-1} = B(t_i, t_N) \\ \Leftrightarrow &\inf_{t_i \leq t_i \leq t_N} f(t_i, t_j) \leq \frac{1}{B(t_i, t_N)^{\frac{1}{N-i}}} - 1 = z(t_i, t_N). \end{aligned}$$

Now we assume that the long forward rate  $f_L(t)$  exists, hence  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists T_\varepsilon$  s.t.  $|f_L(t) - f(t, T)| < \varepsilon, \forall T \geq T_\varepsilon$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{\int_0^{T_\varepsilon} f(t, s) ds}_{=: I(T_\varepsilon)} + \int_{T_\varepsilon}^T (f_L(t) - \varepsilon) ds &\leq \int_t^T f(t, s) ds \\ \underbrace{\frac{I(T_\varepsilon)}{T-t}}_{\xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} 0} + \underbrace{\frac{T-T_\varepsilon}{T-t}}_{\xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} 1} (f_L(t) - \varepsilon) &\leq z(t, T), \end{aligned}$$

hence  $f_L(t) - \varepsilon \leq z(t, T) \leq f_L(t) + \varepsilon$  and  $z_L(t) = f_L(t)$ .

3.

4.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[XZ] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\lim_n X_n Z\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\liminf_n X_n Z \mid \mathcal{G}\right]\right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\liminf_n \mathbb{E}[X_n Z \mid \mathcal{G}]\right] \quad (\text{Fatou's Lemma}) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\liminf_n \mathbb{E}[X_n^n \mid \mathcal{G}]^{\frac{1}{n}} \lim_n \mathbb{E}\left[Z_n^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \mid \mathcal{G}\right]^{\frac{n}{n-1}}\right] \quad (\text{H\"older inequality}) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[YZ]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we set  $Z := \mathbb{1}_{\{X > Y\}}$ , hence if  $\mathbb{P}\{X > Y\} \neq 0$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}[(X - Y)Z] > 0$  as  $X$  is non-negative and  $Y < X$ , and we see the contradiction. So  $X \leq Y$  a.s.

5. We have, under the risk neutral measure,  $B(t, T) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ \frac{R_t}{R_T} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right]$ , where  $R_t$  is the accumulated interest from 0 to  $t$ . Under the change of measure  $\frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}{d\mathbb{Q}} = \frac{R_s}{B(s, t)R_t}$ ,  $B(s, T) = B(s, T)\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}[B(t, T)|\mathcal{F}_s]$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
x_L(s) &\triangleq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} B(s, T)^{\frac{1}{T}} \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} B(s, t)^{\frac{1}{T}} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}[B(t, T)|\mathcal{F}_s]^{\frac{1}{T}} \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} B(s, t)^{\frac{1}{T}} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}[x(t, T)^T|\mathcal{F}_s]^{\frac{1}{T}} \quad \text{by setting } x(t, T) := B(t, T)^{\frac{1}{T}} \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} x_L(t) \\
&\geq \underbrace{\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} B(s, t)^{\frac{1}{T}}}_{=0} \underbrace{\liminf_n \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}[x(t, T)^T|\mathcal{F}_s]^{\frac{1}{T}}}_{\geq x_L(t)} \\
&\geq x_L(t).
\end{aligned}$$

6. Now as  $z_L(t) = f_L(t) = -\ln x_L(t)$ ,  $f_L$  is a growing function with time. □

**Exercise 2** (Zero coupon bond in Vasicek model). Consider the interest rate model of Vasicek under the risk-neutral measure  $\mathbb{Q}$ :

$$dr_t = a(b - r_t) dt - \sigma dW_t, \quad r_0 = x.$$

**Part 1: pricing of the zero-coupon bond.**

1. Prove that

$$r_t = r_0 e^{-at} + b(1 - e^{-at}) - \sigma \int_0^t e^{-a(t-s)} dW_s,$$

and deduce that  $r$  is a Gaussian process with explicit mean and covariance parameters.

2. We now define  $I_{t,T} := \int_t^T r_s ds$ . Prove that

$$aI_{t,T} = ab(T-t) - (r_T - r_t) - \sigma \int_t^T dW_s,$$

and deduce the explicit form of  $I_{t,T}$  with respect to  $r_t$ .

3. Compute the conditional mean and variance of  $I_{t,T}$  and deduce the price of the zero-coupon bond  $B(t, T)$ ,

$$B(t, T) = \exp \left( -b(T-t) + (b-r_t) \frac{1 - e^{-a(T-t)}}{a} - \frac{\sigma^2}{4a^3} \left( 1 - e^{-a(T-t)} \right)^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{2a^2} \left( T-t - \frac{1 - e^{-a(T-t)}}{a} \right) \right).$$

**Part 2: pricing of a call option on a forward contract.** Let now  $\Gamma(t, T)$  be the volatility of the zero-coupon bond. The forward neutral with maturity  $T$  probability  $\mathbb{Q}^T$  is the measure with Radon-Nikodym derivative  $\exp \left( -\int_0^T r_s ds \right) B(0, T)^{-1}$ .

4. What is the value of  $\Gamma(t, T)$ ? What is the SDE of the ZC bond under the risk neutral probability, and what is it under the forward-neutral with maturity  $T$  probability? Find the solution to these SDEs.
5. For any  $\delta \geq 0$ , show that the forward price at time  $t$  for the payoff  $B(T, T + \delta)^{-1}$  at maturity  $T$  is  $X_t := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^T}[B(T, T + \delta)^{-1}|\mathcal{F}_t]$  and compute it.

*Proof.* 1. Let  $X_t = r_0 e^{-at} + b(1 - e^{-at}) - \sigma \int_0^t e^{-a(t-s)} dW_s$ , then with Itô's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}
dX_t &= (-ar_0 e^{-at} + abe^{-at}) dt - \sigma d \left( \int_0^t e^{-a(t-s)} dW_s \right) \\
&= (-ar_0 e^{-at} + abe^{-at} + ab - ab) dt - \sigma \left( e^{-a(t-t)} dW_t + a \left( \int_0^t e^{-a(t-s)} dW_s \right) dt \right) \\
&= a(b - X_t) dt - \sigma dW_t.
\end{aligned}$$

So the right hand side of  $r_t$  is a Wiener integral, then it is a Gaussian process with mean and covariance:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[r_t] &= r_0 e^{-at} + b(1 - e^{-at}) \\ \text{Cov}(r_t, r_s) &\triangleq \sigma^2 \int_0^{t \wedge s} e^{-a(t-u)} e^{-a(s-u)} du \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left( e^{-a|t-s|} - e^{-a(t+s)} \right).\end{aligned}$$

2. We have

$$\begin{aligned}dr_s &= a(b - r_s) ds - \sigma dW_s \\ \Leftrightarrow \int_t^T dr_s &= \int_t^T a(b - r_s) ds - \sigma \int_t^T dW_s \\ \Leftrightarrow r_T - r_t &= ab(T - t) - aI_{t,T} - \sigma \int_t^T dW_s.\end{aligned}$$

We also know from 1 that

$$r_T = r_t e^{-a(T-t)} + b(1 - e^{-a(T-t)}) - \sigma \int_t^T e^{-a(T-s)} dW_s$$

hence,

$$I_{t,T} = b(T - t) + (r_t - b) \frac{1 - e^{-a(T-t)}}{a} + \frac{\sigma}{a} \int_t^T \left( e^{-a(T-s)} - 1 \right) dW_s$$

3. We note  $m_{I_{t,T}}^t$  and  $s_{I_{t,T}}^t$  the mean and variance of  $I_{t,T}$  conditionally to  $\mathcal{F}_t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}m_{I_{t,T}}^t &= b(T - t) + (r_t - b) \frac{1 - e^{-a(T-t)}}{a} \\ s_{I_{t,T}}^t &\triangleq \frac{\sigma^2}{a^2} \int_t^T \left( e^{-a(T-s)} - 1 \right)^2 ds \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{a^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2a} e^{-2a(T-s)} + s - \frac{2}{a} e^{-a(T-s)} \right]_t^T \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{a^2} \left( T - t - \frac{1 - e^{-a(T-t)}}{a} - \left( 1 - e^{-a(T-t)} \right)^2 \right).\end{aligned}$$

Now we deduce the price of a zero-coupon bond,

$$\begin{aligned}B(t, T) &\triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{-I_{t,T}} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &= e^{-m_{I_{t,T}}^t + \frac{1}{2} s_{I_{t,T}}^t}.\end{aligned}$$

4. We have with Itô's Lemma,

$$dB(t, T) = \cdot dt + \sigma \frac{1 - e^{-a(T-t)}}{a} dW_t,$$

hence by identification,  $\Gamma(t, T) = \sigma \frac{1 - e^{-a(T-t)}}{a}$ .

Under the risk neutral probability,

$$\frac{dB(t, T)}{B(t, T)} = r_t dt + \Gamma(t, T) dW_t.$$

And the solution is

$$B(t, T) = B(0, T) \exp \left( \int_t^T r_s - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(s, T)^2 ds + \int_t^T \Gamma(s, T) dW_s \right).$$

We have  $B(T, T) = 1$ , hence  $\frac{d\mathbb{Q}^T}{d\mathbb{Q}} = \mathcal{E} \left( \int_t^T \Gamma(s, T) dW_s \right)$ . With Girsanov's theorem,  $dW_t^{\mathbb{Q}^T} := dW_t - \Gamma(t, T) dt$  brownian motion under  $\mathbb{Q}^T$ , and then under  $\mathbb{Q}^T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dB(t, T)}{B(t, T)} &= (r_t + \Gamma(t, T)) dt + \Gamma(t, T) dW_t^{\mathbb{Q}^T} \\ \Leftrightarrow B(t, T) &= B(0, T) \exp \left( \int_t^T r_s + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(s, T)^2 ds + \int_t^T \Gamma(s, T) dW_s^{\mathbb{Q}^T} \right). \end{aligned}$$

5. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &\triangleq B(t, T)^{-1} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds} B(T, T + \delta)^{-1} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &= B(t, T)^{-1} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^T} \left[ \frac{B(t, T)}{e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds}} e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds} B(T, T + \delta)^{-1} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^T} [B(T, T + \delta)^{-1} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t]. \end{aligned}$$

6.

□